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Abstract - In this paper the reviews of 3D simulation procedure and modelling of Hall sensor realized in standard high-voltage CMOS technologies are given. The complete manufacturing process flow and electrical characteristics of cross-shaped Hall sensor, vertical Hall sensor and MAGFET are simulated by using Silvaco and ISE TCAD software package tools. In addition, the efficient electrical models of these devices are derived and successfully implemented in circuit simulator SPICE.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A variety of integrated magnetic sensitive passive and/or active devices can be designed and fabricated in standard CMOS IC technology without design rule violations [1]. In the family of such devices, the Hall plates and magnetic-field-sensitive MOSFETs (MAGFETs) appears to be the most popular integrated structures for sensor applications. Analysis of the integrated magnetic sensor devices based on the Hall effect or carrier deflection has generally been based hitherto on simple, intuitive analytical models. While some of these models remain valuable heuristic tools for trial device design and analysis in certain limiting cases, they are inappropriate for general device structures and operating conditions. Consequently, a precise physical simulation of magnetic sensors is highly desirable in order to optimize the design and operating conditions of these sensors with respect to high magnetic sensitivity [2].

Unlike the simulation of conventional semiconductor IC devices, the numerical simulations of magnetic microsensors are relatively new. Namely, the vectorial nature of the Lorentz force requires complex analysis in the space that can be properly made only by three-dimensional (3D) simulations. Only recently, the modules for 3D simulations of semiconductor magnetic sensors have become available as a part of commercial software packages such as Silvaco [3,4] or Sentaurus/ISE TCAD [5].

In this work, we present the results of the 3D numerical simulations of integrated Hall plates and MAGFETs using the commercial Technology Compute Aided Design (TCAD) software. Subsequently, based on the 3D magnetic sensor simulations, the efficient electrical models of these devices derived from will be described and their successful implementation in circuit simulator SPICE will be demonstrated.

II. 3D CARRIER TRANSPORT WITH MAGNETIC FIELD IN SEMICONDUCTORS

The effect of the magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) on a carrier travelling with velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) is to add a term \( q ( \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} ) \) called the Lorentz force to the force that the carrier already feels. The magnetic field density \( \mathbf{B} \) is a vector \((B_x, B_y, B_z)\) in units of Tesla \((T=Vs/m^2)\). The Hall coefficients \( R_n, R_p \) characterize the transverse quasi Fermi level gradient caused by the magnetic field acting on the electron (hole) current density vector \( \mathbf{J}_{n,p} \). Assuming the isothermal condition \( \nabla T = 0 \), \( R_n, R_p \) are expressed as:

\[
R_{n,p} = -\frac{\nabla \phi_{n,p}}{(B \times \mathbf{J}_{n,p})^2}
\]

(1)

where \( \nabla \phi_{n,p} \) is the gradient of respective electron and hole Fermi potentials. In the following analysis, only electrons will be considered while the same formalisms also hold for holes.

Based on the solution of Boltzmann’s transport equation under a relaxation time approximation, the isothermal magnetic field dependent electron current density \( \mathbf{J}_n \) in isotropic semiconductor material can be represented in the implicit form as [6]:

\[
\nabla \phi_n = -\sigma_n^{-1} \mathbf{J}_n - \mathbf{B} \times (R_n \cdot \mathbf{J}_n)
\]

(2)

The deflection that the magnetic field causes on electric currents in semiconductors is reflected by vector products with \( \mathbf{B} \) appearing on the right-hand side of Eq. (2). The closed form analytical solution of \( \mathbf{J}_n \) can be obtained from Eq. (2) only under the assumption of low magnetic fields. Then it yields:

\[
\mathbf{J}_n = -\sigma_n \nabla \phi_n - \frac{1}{1 + (\mu_n^* \mathbf{B})^2} \left[ \mu_n^* \mathbf{B} \times \nabla \phi_n + \mu_n^* \mathbf{B} \times (\mu_n^* \mathbf{B} \times \nabla \phi_n) \right]
\]

(3)

where \( \mu_n^* = R_n \cdot \mu_n \) denote the Hall mobility of electrons. The electron current density vector \( \mathbf{J}_n \) described by Eq. (3) can be transformed in a matrix form as:
\[ \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_{n0} \cdot M = (-\sigma_n \cdot \nabla \phi_n) \cdot M \]  
(4)

with:

\[
M = \frac{1}{1 + a^2 + b^2 + c^2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 + a^2 & ab - c & ca + b \\
ab + c & 1 + b^2 & bc - a \\
ca - b & a + bc & 1 + c^2
\end{pmatrix}
\]  
(5)

where \( \mathbf{J}_{n0} \) is the zero-magnetic field electron current density, \( a = \mu^* B_x \), \( b = \mu^* B_y \), and \( c = \mu^* B_z \). The matrix form of \( \mathbf{J}_{n0} \) represented by Eqs. (4) and (5) is used for both electrons and holes to include the magnetic field effects in ATLAS [3]. Note that the both Eqs. (3) and (4) are derived from an expansion of Eq.(2) in powers of magnetic field \( B \) in the approximation of low magnetic fields. More precisely, they are accurate only if the weak magnetic field condition \( \mu^* \cdot B \ll 1 \) is satisfied. Thus, for example, in case of a field of 1 Tesla applied to silicon crystal with a typical carrier mobility of 0.1 m²/(Vs), the product \( \mu^* \cdot B \) is 0.1 so that the weak field condition is satisfied. It is important to emphasize that all magnetic device simulations described in this work are performed with \( B \leq 1 \) Tesla in order to preserve the validity of Eqs. (3) and (4) and obtain realistic results. Hence, the magneto-resistance effects appearing as the change of material electrical resistance at extremely high magnetic fields \( B > 3 \) Tesla are not possible to simulate with present commercial device simulators.

III. TCAD OF INTEGRATED HALL CROSS-SHAPED AND VERTICAL HALL SENSOR

A. TCAD of cross-shaped Hall sensor in CMOS technology

A cross-shaped Hall sensor fabricated in bulk CMOS technology cannot be properly simulated without the inclusion of 3rd dimension. A complete fabrication process flow of cross-shaped Hall sensor was simulated using parameters of standard AMS 0.8μm CMOS technology. The device is realized in deep N-well region with additional p+ layer in the middle of the sensor between contacts C1 and C2, and sensing contacts C3 and C4. Using process simulator DIOS [5], a several 2D doping profiles along sensor’s main x- and y-axes were obtained and one of them, in the middle of Hall sensor structure, is shown in Fig.1 [7]. Then, a 3D device structure is generated by data exchange and interpolation between simulated 2D cross sections using program DIP [5]. The obtain 3D Hall sensor structure and discretization grid are shown on Fig. 2.

The Hall sensor electrical characteristics were obtained by device simulator DESSIS [5]. A standard drift diffusion model with doping-dependant Hall mobility, Shockley-Read-Hall and Auger recombination models were used in simulations. Also, two identical voltmeters with 10GΩ input resistances were assumed to be attached to the Hall sensing contacts. Fig.3 shows the examples of 2D electron current densities along XZ and YZ planes and 3D potential distributions simulated for case of homogenous perpendicular magnetic field \( B=2T \) and \( V_{IN}=4V \). The influence of \( B \) on current deflection and potential difference between Hall contacts is clearly visible. In addition, result in Figs.3a) and 3b) confirms the beneficial influence of shallow P+ diffused layer (see Fig.1) pushing the peak electron current toward lower doped N-well region with higher Hall coefficients [8]. Finally, 3D potential distribution in the whole simulation domain of Hall cross sensor for \( B_x=2T \) and \( V_{IN}=2V \) on C2 contact is shown on Fig. 4.

B. TCAD of vertical Hall sensor in CMOS technology

The vertical Hall sensor (VHS) layout with five contacts in a line on top of a low-doped n-diffusion region, surrounded by p-diffusion layer, is based on geometry given in [9,10]. VHS is also realized in high-voltage AMS 0.8μm CMOS technology. Since preconditions for
Fig. 3. Electron current density in: a) cross section through C1 and C2 contacts, and b) cross section through sensitive contacts C3 and C4 ($B=2T$, $V_{IN}=4V$).

Fig. 4. 3D potential distribution for $B=2T$ and $V_{IN}=4V$.

Achieving high magnetic sensitivity of the VHS are low-doped and deep active area profiles, it is easy to understand why this technology was the first choice, because we realize sensor in deep n-diffusion layer (DNTUB, depth 7μm), on p-substrate. In accordance with the conventional design of the VHS, contacts sizes are 1.5x1.5μm, while the distance between contacts is 10μm.

For the process simulation of the vertical Hall sensor 2D process simulator DIOS and 3D doping profile generator MESH, as a part of the ISE TCAD system, were used. As a result, 3D doping profile of VHS sensor obtained by using the doping reduction method [11] and additional p+ region between contacts is shown in Fig. 5. The electrical characteristics of VHS, for biasing conditions: $V_{IN}=5V$, $V_{OUT}=0V$, and magnetic field $B=0.5T$ and 1T) were simulated using 2D/3D device simulator DESSIS. For the modeling of the measurement, the VHS is connected to voltmeters with an input resistance of 10 MΩ at both sensitive contacts ($S_1$ and $S_2$). The obtained simulation results, current sensitivity $S_I$ and voltage sensitivity $S_V$, are: $S_I=348V/(AT)$ and $S_V=0.274V/(VT)$ for $B=0.5T$ and $S_I=318V/(AT)$ and $S_V=0.259V/(VT)$ for $B=1T$.

The potential and electron current density distributions in the half domain of VHS for $B (B_x=0, B_y=0, B_z=1T)$ are shown on Figs. 6 and 7.

Fig. 5. 3D doping profile in the half domain of VHS realized in 0.8μm HV-CMOS technology

Fig. 6. Potential distribution of VHS for $V_{IN}=5V$ and $B=1T$.

Fig. 7. Electron current distribution of VHS for $V_{IN}=5V$ and $B=1T$. 
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C. Equivalent circuit model of Hall plates

A unified circuit model developed for both vertical and horizontal Hall sensors is shown in Fig. 8. In contrast to more complex matrix models with junction field effect transistors (JFETs) [6], the circuit model shown in Fig. 4 is a symmetrical four-cell lumped element model where JFETs are replaced with the non-linear resistors $R_{NT}$. The resistivity of $R_{NT}$ is calculated with formula:

$$R_{NT} = \left[ a + b \cdot \exp\left(\frac{V_{NT}}{c}\right) \right] \cdot \left( \frac{T}{T_{nom}} \right) \cdot \left( 1 + d \cdot B^2 \right)$$

where $V_{NT}$ is the resistor’s internal voltage drop and $T_{nom}$ is the referent temperature (room temperature). $a$, $b$, $c$ and $\gamma$ are parameters extracted from fitting Eq.6 with the results of 3D simulations of Hall plates (Figs. 3-7) performed for different supply voltages with $B=0$. The last multiplier term in Eq.6 represents the magneto-resistance effect which becomes important at high magnetic fields. Constance $d$ is extracted from measuring the experimental Hall plates since the magnetoresistance effects are not possible to simulate with present TCAD software as explain in Section II of this paper. The other circuit elements $F_{XYI}$ and $F_{YXI}$ shown in Fig. 8 are the current sources controlled by currents trough the respective zero-voltage ampermeters $V_{XYI}$ and $V_{YXI}$ as indicated by arrows. The $X$, $Y$ current components when mirrored must be multiplied by magnetic and spatial dependant coefficients from $V_{XYI}$ and $V_{YXI}$ as described in [6]. The D1-D5 elements are the inversely polarised unity diodes used to model the p-n junction distributed capacitances in the sensor. Proper modeling the sensor’s time response is important in case of, for example, performing dynamic offset and noise cancellation.

D. Modeling results

The electrical circuit model of Hall plates shown in Fig. 8 has been implemented in SPICE circuit simulator. The magnetic field is represented with a separate voltage generator sourcing a voltage equal in magnitude to $B_Z$. The model parameters defined in previous section has been extracted from fitting the modeling results with measured vertical and horizontal Hall plates characteristics fabricated in 0.8µm CMOS technology. The efficiency of magnetic sensor circuit model to predict the electrical and sensory characteristics of Hall plates are demonstrated in Figs.9-14.
IV. TCAD OF MAGFETS

The inversion layer of MOSFET can be used as the active region of a magnetic sensor. This active region can exploit the Hall effect for Hall based sensors, or the carrier deflection, if the device has a split-drain. The structure of conventional Split-Drain MOSFET (MAGFET) is identical to a MOSFET but the drain is split in two or more parts as shown in Fig. 15. The ability of integrating the bias and control circuitry on the same chip with MAGFET device makes this sensor structure particularly attractive.

A. TCAD study of MAGFET in CMOS technology

A MAGFET with $L=125\mu m$, $W=100\mu m$, $t_{ox}=60nm$ gate oxide, and substrate doping $N_D=10^{15}cm^{-3}$, is studied in our case. A concave MAGFET mask layout and standard 0.35µm CMOS technology are adopted for process simulation, yielding 45µm wide drain regions separated by a 10µm oxide gap. The internal potentials and carrier distributions of the MAGFET in presence of the perpendicular magnetic field $B_Z$ were then obtained using the 3D device simulator ISE DESSIS. Fig. 16 shows the electric field distribution in the channel simulated for $V_{GS}=5V$, $V_{DS}=1V$ and $B_Z=100mT$, where $B_Z$ was orientated in the $z$-axis direction. It can be seen that the electric field isolines are asymmetrical with respect to the $(z,x)$-plane at $y=0$. This asymmetry is caused by the accumulation of electrons in the upper channel region due to the influence of Lorentz force. It also causes the difference in drain currents at D1 and D2 contacts. The later is illustrated by Fig. 17 showing the drain current density distribution in the channel of MAGFET simulated without and with magnetic field $B_Z$ [12].
B. Equivalent circuit model of MAGFETs

The MAGFET operation is emulated with two identical NMOSTs operating in parallel. The channel carrier transport has to be represented with two identical RC chains as illustrated in Fig. 3. Depending on the sign (+ or -) of the applied perpendicular magnetic field $B_Z$, the equivalent resistors $R_k$ in one of channel chains will simultaneously decrease or increase under the action of the Lorentz force due to carrier accumulation or depletion, respectively. In the expressions underlying the distributed MOSFET model [14], the magnitude of $R_k$ is inversely proportional to the square root of the substrate doping concentration e.g. $\sqrt{N_{\text{eff}}}$ (see Eq. (A4) in Ref [13]). Hence, in order to include magnetic effects into the existing MOSFET model, the new effective substrate doping variable $N'_{\text{eff}}$ is defined instead of the $N_{\text{eff}}$ parameter as:

$$N'_{\text{eff}} = N_{\text{eff}} \pm \Delta n(x, B_Z) = N_{\text{eff}} \pm a \cdot B_Z$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where + and − signs stand for the different directions of carrier deflection in one of the NMOST channels as illustrated in Fig. 18. The Eq. (7) is the key modification to the MOSFET model [14]. It is obtained from TCAD study of MAGFET showing that there is approximately linear relation between $B_Z$ and accumulated Hall charge at the one side of the channel. The empirical constant $a$ appearing in (4) becomes fitting parameter used to calibrate the MAGFET model. When $B_Z=0$, the MAGFET model reverts to the original MOSFET model [14].
C. Modeling results

The MAGFET model is implemented in SPICE in the form of a sub-circuit with two NMOSTs as illustrated in Fig. 18. As in the case of Hall plates simulation with SPICE, the magnetic field is also represented here with a separate voltage generator sourcing a voltage equal in magnitude to $B_Z$. This voltage source drives a special “magnetic” node in the MAGFET sub-circuit that connects $B_Z$ with the $N_{\text{eff}}$ variable of the modified MOSFET model following Eq. (7).

Fig. 19 shows the comparisons between 3D simulations and modeling results with experimental data taken from [13] of drain current imbalance $\Delta I_D = I_{D1} - I_{D2}$, while the relative sensitivities of MAGFET versus $V_{DS}$ and $V_{GS}$ for $B_Z=0.1T$ are shown on Figs. 20 and 21.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, the results of 3D TCAD of integrated Hall sensor devices manufactured by using the standard AMS 0.8µm and 0.35µm high-voltage CMOS technologies are presented. The complete technology process flow and electrical characteristics of cross-shaped Hall sensor, vertical Hall sensor and MAGFET are simulated by using Silvaco (ATHENA, ATLAS) and ISE (DIOS, DESSIS, MESH, DIP) TCAD software package tools. In addition, based on the 3D numerical simulation of magnetic sensors, the efficient electrical models of these devices are derived and successfully implemented in circuit simulator SPICE.
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